UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

MARK TYLER ANTHONY	MARI	〈 TYI	LER A	NTH	ONY.
--------------------	------	-------	-------	-----	------

\mathbf{r}	. •	. •		
\mathbf{P}_{ℓ}	⊃†1	tı.	α n	er

Petitioner,	
v. DOUG VASBINDER,	CASE NO. 05-CV-71299-DT HONORABLE DENISE PAGE HOOD
Respondent.	/

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTIONS FOR REHEARING, FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, FOR IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION, FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING, AND FOR AN EMERGENCY HEARING

Petitioner Mark Tyler Anthony initiated this action by filing a pro se application for the writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The habeas petition attacked Petitioner's 1989 convictions for first- and second-degree criminal sexual conduct. On April 29, 2005, the Court transferred the habeas petition to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit as a second or successive habeas petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A); In re Sims, 111 F.3d 45, 47 (6th Cir. 1997). Petitioner subsequently moved to reinstate his habeas petition, but the Court denied his motion on the ground that it lacked jurisdiction to consider his claims. The Court of Appeals subsequently dismissed Petitioner's appeal for want of prosecution. See In re Mark Anthony, No. 05-1593 (6th Cir. Sept. 8, 2005).

Currently pending before the Court are Petitioner's motions for rehearing, for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, for immediate consideration, for an evidentiary hearing, 2:05-cv-71299-DPH-SDP Doc # 24 Filed 12/30/06 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 250

and for an emergency hearing and rehearing en banc. See Docs. 13 and 17-20. These motions are

DENIED, because the Court of Appeals has not authorized Petitioner to file a second or successive

habeas petition, and "a district court cannot address the merits of a second or successive habeas

corpus petition until the court of appeals has authorized the filing of the petition under § 2244(b)(3).

..." In re King, 190 F.3d 479, 482 (6th Cir. 1999).

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner's Petition for Rehearing Pursuant to Order Denying

Petitioner's Motion and Petition for Reinstatement of Case, Seeking "Sua Sponte" Review by an En

Banc Court [Docket No. 13, filed August 21, 2006] is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and

Injunction [Docket No. 17, filed September 5, 2006] is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Immediate Consideration [Docket

No. 18, filed September 27, 2006] is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing [Docket No.

19, filed October 19, 2006] is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Petition for Emergency Hearing on Injunction

and Suggestion for Rehearing En Banc [Docket No. 20, filed November 17, 2006] is DENIED.

s/ DENISE PAGE HOOD

DENISE PAGE HOOD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: December 30, 2006

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record

on December 30, 2006, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/William F. Lewis

Case Manager

2